



# **Juvenile Probation and Camp Funding**

## **Report to the Corrections Standards Authority Board**

**Corrections Standards Authority  
600 Bercut Drive  
Sacramento, CA 95811  
[www.csa.ca.gov](http://www.csa.ca.gov)**

# Table of Contents

■ <b><u>Executive Summary</u></b>	<b>1-2</b>
■ Program Administration	
■ <b><u>Fixed Allocation Funding</u></b>	<b>2-6</b>
■ Program Expenditures	
■ Program Data Analysis	
■ Service Categories	
■ <b><u>Camp Funding</u></b>	<b>6-7</b>
■ Camp Allocation Funding	
■ New Camps Added to the Program	
■ <b><u>Appendices</u></b>	
A. Assembly Bill 139	
B. Fourth Quarter Camp Allocation	

# Executive Summary

The Juvenile Probation and Camps Funding Program was developed in response to legislation signed by Governor Schwarzenegger in July 2005 (AB 139, Chapter 74). This legislation appropriated over \$168.7 million in state funds to support a broad spectrum of county probation services targeting at-risk youth, juvenile offenders (those on probation as well as those detained in local juvenile facilities), and the families of these youth. All counties are eligible for a portion of the appropriation, with amounts based on the fiscal year 2004-05 allocation schedule outlined in statute. AB 139 also provided \$32.7 million for specified services in counties that operate juvenile camps and/or ranches. The camp-specific funds are allocated to counties on a quarterly basis according to the number of occupied camp/ranch beds up to the maximum rated capacity set by the Corrections Standards Authority. A copy of the enabling legislation, AB 139, is attached as Appendix A.

The legislation directed the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) to administer these funds, and CDCR entrusted the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) with this responsibility. For administrative purposes, the CSA refers to this effort as the Juvenile Probation and Camps Funding (JPCF) Program.

The JPCF Program, in effect, replaces the Comprehensive Youth Services Act, which provided federal dollars to county probation departments, beginning in 1997-98, through the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program. The TANF program was administered by the California Department of Social Services. Counties used these federal dollars to fund services and programs across a continuum of options, from prevention/early intervention and treatment through custody. According to surveys conducted by the Chief Probation Officers of California, over 40,000 at-risk youth received TANF services in 2003-04, and similar numbers received services while on probation. The largest numbers of youth were served in juvenile halls, camps and ranches, with over 100,000 youth receiving services while incarcerated.

## Background of Program Plan

In August 2005, CSA staff began the planning process by involving critical stakeholders who had an interest in the development of the JPCF program. A representative group of Chief Probation Officers (CPOs), county probation department business managers, and executive staff of the Chief Probation Officers of California (CPOC) were convened to discuss statutory requirements and other issues related to program administration. In September of 2005, the CSA's Acting Executive Director sent an announcement to all CPOs about the JPCF program. The announcement requested feedback on a draft Program Agreement as well as a Program Administration Guide developed by staff to assist probation departments in meeting their obligations. Additionally, in order to ensure fiscal and programmatic accountability, CSA staff developed a Semi-Annual Progress Report designed to collect information needed to monitor counties' compliance with JPCF Program requirements and to assess program performance. An on-line quarterly invoicing system was developed for counties to use in claiming expenditures for their program-specific JPCF appropriation. Additionally, staff also developed a mechanism for collecting monthly camp population data.

All funds allocated to counties through the JPCF Program are intended to support the delivery of services authorized by the enabling legislation. There are 23 categories of services eligible for expenditures. The service categories are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1**  
The 23 Service Categories Authorized in the JPCF Program

1. Educational Advocacy/Attendance Monitoring	13. Respite Care
2. Mental Health Assessment/Counseling	14. Counseling, Monitoring, & Treatment
3. Home Detention	15. Gang Intervention
4. Social Responsibility Training	16. Sex and Health Education
5. Family Mentoring	17. Anger Mgmt., Violence Prevention, Conflict Resolution
6. Parent Peer Support	18. Aftercare Services
7. Life Skills Counseling	19. Information/Referral-Community Services
8. Prevocational/Vocational Training	20. Case Management
9. Family Crisis Intervention	21. Therapeutic Day Treatment
10. Ind., Family & Group Counseling	22. Transportation for JPF Services
11. Parenting Skills Development	23. Emergency and Temporary Shelter
12. Drug and Alcohol Education	

Each year, participating counties submit new agreements for continued funding which provides information regarding program design and types of services to be delivered. Invoices are submitted quarterly to monitor expenditures. Semi-annual progress reports capture data which is used to assess compliance and program success by identifying the population served, the rate of completion or failure, the type of services provided and the involvement of other collaborating agencies. Corrections Standards Authority is responsible for administering this program by monitoring compliance through the fiscal and progress reports received, providing technical assistance and preparing an annual report to the CSA Board. This, the second annual report addresses the issues listed above.

## **JPCF 2006-07 Fixed Allocation Funding**

### **Program Expenditures:**

During this second year of funding, 56 counties (Alpine and Mono Counties chose not to receive funds) completed Program Agreements with CSA. Counties were able to encumber and expend 99.7% percent of the \$168.7 million JPCF appropriation. Additionally, the entire \$32.7 million camp-specific appropriation was dispersed to the 28 counties that operated juvenile camps and/or ranches. It should be noted that while the program-specific JPCF allocation to each county is fixed in statute, the camp-specific allocations will vary annually based upon the proportionate number of occupied camp/ranch beds in each county.

### Program Data Analysis:

Semi-Annual progress reports are submitted by each county to gather the program data which is used to track target populations, services and resources. Improvement in data collection and timely submission of progress reports was noted during the FY 2006-07 year. This may in part be due to the fact that counties have worked through some of the common problems associated with start-up during the first year of programming and they now have increased familiarity with the data collection process, forms and expectations.

The JPCF program supports a continuum of probation services and programs ranging from prevention/intervention and treatment to custody. The 56 counties involved in the JPCF Program this year reported on the activities of 145 separate programs and services. As noted in the 2005-06 report to the CSA board, some of the data elements relating to program specific services (i.e., number of minors completing a program, number of minors failing due to re-offense, etc) are not directly transferable to all types of probation services and this is especially true for services provided in a detention setting. Many probation and detention programs or services are on-going and open-ended and do not have a criteria for measuring completion. Additionally, programs offered in a custody setting frequently have reduced completion rates due to releasing minors before they have sufficient time to complete a specific program. The average length of stay for minors in a California juvenile hall is 31.2 days. Typically, minors will receive assessment and treatment services during their stay but most counties do not classify the minor as having “completed” a program upon release.

Although the aforementioned factors may cause challenges in the interpretation of data in some areas, other data received clearly identifies significant services and programming being provided across the state. The data submitted by counties for FY 2006-07 regarding the JPCF fixed allocation indicates that 169,241 at-risk youth received direct services. Additionally, since the JPCF program allows the expenditure of funds to provide services to the family members of at-risk youth, counties provided services to 58,689 family members (who reside with the minor) in an effort to promote family stability. Table 2 provides a summary of the number of minors and family members served by the JPCF Program for the current reporting period of FY 2006-07. Also included for comparison purposes is data representing FY 2005-06. Noteworthy is the data indicating that while there appears to have been an increase of 14% in the number of youth served during FY 2006-07, there also appears to have been a 20% decrease in family members served during the same time frame.

**Table 2**  
Minors and Family Members involved in the JPCF program

		2006-07	2005-06	% Change
Number of Minors Who Entered		169,241	148,855	+14%
Number of Minors Who Exited		137,758	127,373	+8%
Exit Reason	Completed a Program	69,709	63,471	+9%
	Failed due to new offense	2,895	2,569	+12%
	Failed due to a program violation	9,413	7,986	+18%
	Moved or terminated for other reason	55,741	53,272	+5%
Number of Family Members Who Received Services		58,689	72,811	-19%

Just as reported in the initial year of data collection, the second year data also continues to show a very low rate of program failures for minors involved in the JPCF Program. In FY 2006-07 only 2,895 minors, or 1.7 % of the total number of minors involved, failed a program due to committing a new offense. The number of minors failing due to a violation of program rules was 9,413 or 5.7 % of the total number served. The combined total for the number of minors who have failed a program is 12,308 or 7.3% of the total number of minors involved in the JPCF Program in FY 2006-07.

The exit reason category of “Moved or terminated for other reason”, captures a statistically significant number of minors. This trend is also consistent with data presented in the previous report for FY 2005-06. On its face, argument could be made that the high number reflects the transitory issues affecting probationers and their families. Strong consideration however, should be given to the fact that the vast majority of the minors reported under this exit reason category were receiving services in a detention setting or custody status which offered no other appropriate exit reason from which to choose. As previously discussed, minors participating in custody-based programs are frequently placed in on-going and open-ended services that have no specific completion criteria. Counties have been reluctant to designate a released minor as having “completed a program” merely because his/her period of confinement has ended. Additionally, even when involved in a specified program with completion requirements, minors are often released before they can complete the program. This data when measured against the backdrop of detention setting programming, gives a clearer picture as to how and why such high numbers were reported by counties. The two most populous counties in California, Los Angeles and San Diego, offer a good illustration of this. In FY 2006-07 Los Angeles and San Diego provided JPCF services to 27,826 and 9,636 minors, respectively, in their detention facilities. All of the minors that received JPCF services while incarcerated in Los Angeles and San Diego juvenile halls were reported as exiting because they “Moved or Terminated for Other Reason”. Table 3 demonstrates the impact that these two most populous counties had on the overall statewide numbers.

**Table 3**  
FY 2006-07 Minors Listed as “Moved or Terminated for Other Reason”

Total Number Reported Statewide	55,741	100%
Los Angeles County	27,260	49%
San Diego County	9,845	18%
Los Angeles and San Diego Combined	37,105	67%

The data reported by counties in FY 2006-07 also demonstrate that probation departments continue to successfully collaborate with other local agencies and community-based organizations (CBOs) to provide comprehensive services to both youth and their families. Probation departments, as the lead agency, collaborated most frequently with Local School Districts and Mental Health Departments although district attorneys, other local law enforcement, child welfare agencies, and the courts were also involved. Also significant is that counties reported that 379 community based organizations provided services to youth under the JPCF program. The table 4 reflects the collaborative partnerships as reported by the 145 JPCF programs monitored by CSA during FY 2006-07.

**Table 4**  
FY 2006-07 JPCF Collaborative Partnerships

<b>Percentage of JPCF Programs Using Collaborative Partnerships</b>	<b>Local Agencies</b>
57%	Mental Health Departments
58%	Local Schools
36%	Law enforcement
25%	District attorneys
55%	Court
50%	CBOs
22%	Child Welfare Agencies
15%	Other

All funds allocated to counties under the JPCF program must be expended under one or more of the 23 authorized service categories as specified in the enabling legislation and as referenced in Table 1 of this report. The FY 2006-07 data shows that counties provided services across the entire spectrum of the 23 service categories, with every service category being used more than once. The majority of the 145 programs and services operated by the 56 participating counties reported that services were provided under multiple service categories. Very few programs provided services under only one service category. For example, electronic monitoring programs might be reported only under the service category Home Detention. However, an aftercare program would typically provide services under the following categories: Educational Advocacy/Attendance Monitoring, Mental Health Counseling, Information/Community Services Referral, and Aftercare Services.

In reviewing the specific service categories utilized by the 145 JPCF funded activities, certain trends and emerging priorities in the delivery of probation services become evident. The data indicates that case management services were provided by 95 out of the 145 reporting programs. Continued focus on collaboration between probation departments and local school districts was demonstrated by the fact that the second most frequently reported service category was Educational Advocacy/Attendance Monitoring. During the FY 2006-07 there also appears to have been a shift in service delivery to prioritize an increase in life skills training to youth. Table 5 lists the top five service categories for both FY 2006-07 and FY 2005-06 and the number of programs/services reporting data.

**Table 5**  
Top Five JPCF Service Categories

<b>Ranking</b>	<b>2006-07 Most Used Service Category</b>	<b>2005-06 Most Used Service Category</b>
1	(95) Case Management	(88) Educational Advocacy/Attendance Monitoring
2	(91) Educational Advocacy/Attendance Monitoring	(86) Case Management
3	(90) Life Skills	(81) Information/Community Service Referrals
4	(89) Counseling, Monitoring and Treatment	(80) Counseling, Monitoring and Treatment
5	(88) Information/Community Service Referrals	(78) Drug and Alcohol

## JPCF 2006-07 Camp Funding

### Camp Allocation Funding:

AB 139 allocated \$32.7 million for the support of juvenile probation camps and ranches. The legislation specifies that the funds shall be distributed among counties that operate juvenile camps and ranches based on the number of occupied beds, up to the Corrections Standards Authority rated maximum capacity. Unlike the fixed allocation amounts for counties, the camp allocations will fluctuate based upon the total number of camp beds and occupancy reported by each participant county. If a county adds a probation camp, or increases the number of beds at an existing facility, then the amount of funding received by other counties will be directly impacted as the total number of camp beds is adjusted.

In consultation with the Chief Probation Officers of California, CSA staff developed a mechanism for collecting monthly camp population data from counties. Using the population reports and the CSA board rated maximum capacity, camp funds are disbursed to counties on a quarterly basis.

To establish a camp or ranch eligible for JPCF Program funding, the county must submit an ordinance to CSA from their respective county Board of Supervisors (See Welfare and Institutions Code Section 881). CSA staff from the Facility Standards and Operations Division will inspect the camp/ranch in order to develop a CSA board rated maximum capacity. CSA staff will also assign the new camp a facility number for tracking.



**New Camps Added to the Program:**

At the close of Fiscal Year 2005-06, there were 29 counties with CSA recognized camps or ranches. In FY 2006-07 this number was reduced to 28 as a result of Colusa County deferring their camp allocation to Solano County who operates the Fouts Springs Boys Ranch located in Colusa County. Four counties opened new camps programs and San Bernardino County closed their Heart Bar camp in June 2006. Table 6 lists the camp programs added during the 2006-07 Fiscal Year.

**Table 6**  
Camps Added to the JPCF Program during FY 2006-07

<b>County</b>	<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>Date Opened</b>	<b>Rated Capacity</b>
Fresno	Juvenile Justice Campus Commitment Program	7/23/06	170
Orange	Youth Leadership Academy	7/22/06	60
San Mateo	Margret Kemp Girls Camp	7/31/05	30
Ventura	VCPAJF Secure Commitment Services	7/1/06	90

The entire \$32.7 million appropriated in Fiscal Year 2005-06 was disbursed to 28 counties eligible for cap-specific funding. A spreadsheet detailing the final camp allocation for Fiscal Year 2006-07 is attached as Appendix B.

# APPENDIX A

## Assembly Bill 139

SEC. 74. Chapter 3.2 (commencing with Section 18220) is added to Part 6 of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, to read:

### CHAPTER 3.2. JUVENILE PROBATION FUNDING

18220. (a) (1) The Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, commencing July 1, 2005, shall administer funds appropriated for the purposes of this chapter and allocated pursuant to this section.
- (2) For purposes of this chapter, "department" means the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
- (b) (1) The department shall administer this chapter, including the establishment of agreements with all county probation departments that receive funding under this chapter.
- (2) (A) Subject to the availability of funds in the annual Budget Act, the department shall be responsible for allocating funds to counties.
- (B) Commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year, the department shall allocate one hundred sixty-eight million seven hundred thirteen thousand dollars (\$168,713,000) among counties based on the allocation schedule specified in this subparagraph. In any year in which the total amount appropriated by the Legislature for the purposes of this section differs from the total amount provided in the 2004-05 fiscal year, the amount appropriated shall be apportioned to counties based on the 2004-05 fiscal year allocation schedule as follows:

Alameda.....\$6,667,935	Kings .....\$647,746	Placer .....\$450,012	Sierra .....\$6,168
Alpine .....\$584	Lake .....\$314,736	Plumas .....\$46,127	Siskiyou .....\$126,526
Amador.....\$100,667	Lassen .....\$91,671	Riverside .....\$5,438,322	Solano .....\$1,748,360
Butte .....\$538,712	Los Angeles...\$67,713,506	Sacramento.....\$3,602,070	Sonoma .....\$2,200,569
Calaveras.....\$103,092	Madera .....\$404,791	San Benito .....\$360,418	Stanislaus.....\$889,952
Colusa .....\$57,526	Marin .....\$631,365	San Bernardino..\$5,856,862	Sutter .....\$226,793
Contra Costa...\$4,493,504	Mariposa .....\$22,394	San Diego .....\$9,463,866	Tehama .....\$243,674
Del Norte .....\$197,338	Mendocino.....\$333,240	San Francisco..\$3,232,706	Trinity .....\$58,342
El Dorado .....\$508,807	Merced .....\$584,419	San Joaquin ....\$1,493,704	Tulare .....\$2,381,471
Fresno .....\$3,635,282	Modoc .....\$36,005	San Luis Obispo...\$1,013,424	Tuolumne .....\$119,136
Glenn .....\$90,484	Mono .....\$12,013	San Mateo .....\$3,201,176	Ventura .....\$2,900,636
Humboldt .....\$286,072	Monterey .....\$1,018,813	Santa Barbara...\$2,794,054	Yolo .....\$429,067
Imperial .....\$572,419	Napa .....\$593,942	Santa Clara ....\$9,799,213	Yuba .....\$189,721
Inyo .....\$241,575	Nevada .....\$209,805	Santa Cruz.....\$1,033,949	<b>Total .....\$168,713,000</b>
Kern .....\$4,333,734	Orange .....\$14,270,138	Shasta .....\$694,367	

# APPENDIX A

(C) Commencing with the 2005-06 fiscal year, the department shall allocate thirty-two million seven hundred thousand dollars (\$32,700,000) among counties that operate juvenile camps and ranches based on the number of occupied beds in each camp as of 12:01 a.m. each day, up to the Corrections Standards Authority rated maximum capacity, as determined by the Corrections Standards Authority.

18221. (a) Subject to the availability of funds for the purposes described in this section, funds provided pursuant to subparagraphs (B) and (C) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 18220 may be used to serve children who are habitual truants, runaways, at risk of being wards of the court under Section 601 or 602, or under juvenile court supervision or supervision of the probation department. Funds may be used to serve parents or other family members of these children if serving them will promote increased self-sufficiency, personal responsibility, and family stability for the child. Services shall be provided pursuant to a family service plan. When a family is served by multiple public agencies or in need of services from multiple public agencies, the family service plan shall be developed through an interdisciplinary approach that shall include representatives from agencies that provide services to the family or that may be required to implement the service plan.

(b) Services authorized under this section include all of the following:

- (1) Educational advocacy and attendance monitoring.
- (2) Mental health assessment and counseling.
- (3) Home detention.
- (4) Social responsibility training.
- (5) Family mentoring.
- (6) Parent peer support.
- (7) Life skills counseling.
- (8) Direct provision of, and referral to, prevocational and vocational training.
- (9) Family crisis intervention.
- (10) Individual, family, and group counseling.
- (11) Parenting skills development.
- (12) Drug and alcohol education.
- (13) Respite care.
- (14) Counseling, monitoring, and treatment.
- (15) Gang intervention.
- (16) Sex and health education.
- (17) Anger management, violence prevention, and conflict resolution.
- (18) Aftercare services as juveniles transition back into the community and reintegrate into their families.
- (19) Information and referral regarding the availability of community services.
- (20) Case management.
- (21) Therapeutic day treatment.
- (22) Transportation related to any of the services described in this subdivision.
- (23) Emergency and temporary shelter.

# APPENDIX B

CAMP FUNDING PORTION OF Juvenile Probation and Camp Funding Program (CAMP)														\$32,700,000.00 ALLOCATION FOR FY 06/07						FY 06/07 Net Due by County	
County	Camp	Pop 1st Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Pop 2nd Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Pop 3rd Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Apr '07	May '07	Jun '07	Pop 4th Qtr 06/07 Ttl	FY06/07 Count Ttl	Yearly ADP	Yearly %	Yearly Allocation per Camp	Amount Paid in 1st Qtr	Amount Paid in 2nd Qtr	Amount Paid in 3rd Qtr	FY 06/07 Net Due by Camp	FY 06/07 Net Due by County				
Alameda	Sweeney	8,040	6,954	5,750	2,109	2,112	2,217	6,438	27,182	74.47	1.74%	\$569,386.00	\$166,551.37	\$142,797.45	\$123,934.50	\$136,102.68	\$136,102.68				
Contra Costa	Orin Allen	9,114	9,103	8,795	2,856	2,719	2,584	8,159	35,171	96.36	2.25%	\$736,732.95	\$188,799.65	\$186,926.26	\$189,565.90	\$171,441.13	\$171,441.13				
Del Norte	Bar-O-Boys	1,986	2,256	1,988	663	715	665	2,043	8,273	22.67	0.53%	\$173,295.94	\$41,140.67	\$46,326.01	\$42,849.01	\$42,980.26	\$42,980.26				
El Dorado	South Tahoe Challenge	884	861	1,041	334	310	197	841	3,627	9.94	0.23%	\$75,975.39	\$18,312.36	\$17,680.27	\$22,437.53	\$17,545.22	\$17,545.22				
Fresno	Elkhorn	15,993	15,632	15,948	5,240	5,234	4,896	15,370	62,943	172.45	4.03%	\$1,318,477.77	\$331,300.51	\$320,996.50	\$343,740.43	\$322,440.34	\$634,840.55				
	Juv Justice Campus Commitment Prog	9,974	13,583	12,921	4,641	5,242	5,027	14,910	51,388	140.79	3.29%	\$1,076,433.22	\$206,614.85	\$278,921.16	\$278,496.99	\$312,400.21					
	Avenues to Change	1,767	1,739	1,726	592	598	597	1,787	7,019	19.23	0.45%	\$147,028.19	\$36,604.01	\$35,709.63	\$37,201.90	\$37,512.64					
Kern	L.Rhoades Crossroads	7,858	10,640	10,632	3,549	3,593	3,481	10,623	39,753	108.91	2.55%	\$832,712.88	\$162,781.18	\$218,487.90	\$229,160.28	\$222,283.52	\$539,504.09				
	Erwin Owen	10,536	10,002	9,661	3,565	3,706	3,599	10,870	41,069	112.52	2.63%	\$860,279.36	\$218,256.87	\$205,386.84	\$208,231.52	\$228,404.13					
	Pathways	2,711	2,738	2,615	768	872	801	2,441	10,505	28.78	0.67%	\$220,050.03	\$56,159.30	\$56,223.67	\$56,363.26	\$51,303.80					
Kings	Juvenile Boot Camp	2,383	1,864	1,292	465	424	357	1,246	6,785	18.59	0.43%	\$142,126.55	\$49,364.67	\$38,276.45	\$27,847.54	\$26,637.89	\$29,787.28				
	Female Treatment Ctr	464	521	416	108	39	0	147	1,548	4.24	0.10%	\$32,426.22	\$9,611.92	\$10,698.51	\$8,966.39	\$3,149.39					
	Afferbaugh	9,561	9,016	8,427	2,766	2,754	2,999	8,519	35,523	97.32	2.28%	\$744,106.35	\$198,059.41	\$185,139.75	\$181,634.10	\$179,273.10					
	Gonzales	9,973	9,413	8,347	2,400	2,869	2,946	8,215	35,948	98.49	2.30%	\$753,008.90	\$206,594.13	\$193,291.98	\$179,909.79	\$173,213.00					
	Holton	8,779	8,878	7,570	2,529	2,480	2,557	7,566	32,793	89.84	2.10%	\$686,920.57	\$181,860.01	\$182,305.98	\$163,162.47	\$159,592.12					
	Jarvis	9,480	8,882	8,936	3,057	3,382	3,277	9,716	37,014	101.41	2.37%	\$775,338.58	\$196,381.47	\$182,388.11	\$192,604.99	\$203,964.01					
	Kilpatrick	9,928	8,668	8,719	3,048	3,243	3,300	9,591	36,906	101.11	2.36%	\$773,076.29	\$205,661.94	\$177,993.72	\$187,927.81	\$201,492.82					
	Kirby	7,763	7,102	6,912	2,357	2,709	2,762	7,828	29,605	81.11	1.90%	\$620,140.99	\$160,813.22	\$145,836.57	\$148,980.05	\$164,511.16					
	McNair	9,404	8,975	8,952	3,274	3,404	3,269	9,947	37,278	102.13	2.39%	\$780,868.63	\$194,807.10	\$184,297.83	\$192,949.86	\$208,813.85					
	Mendenhall	9,155	8,375	7,674	3,006	3,111	3,028	9,145	34,349	94.11	2.20%	\$719,514.37	\$189,648.98	\$171,977.08	\$165,404.07	\$192,484.24					
	Miller	9,744	8,252	7,617	3,116	3,254	3,143	9,513	35,126	96.24	2.25%	\$735,790.32	\$201,850.32	\$169,451.33	\$164,175.50	\$200,313.18					
Los Angeles	Munz	9,012	8,652	7,694	2,954	3,023	2,855	8,832	34,190	93.67	2.19%	\$716,183.77	\$186,686.69	\$177,665.16	\$165,835.14	\$185,996.78	\$2,996,581.70				
	Onizuka	5,209	4,619	791	0	0	0	0	10,619	29.09	0.68%	\$222,438.01	\$107,906.23	\$94,849.21	\$17,049.08	\$2,633.49					
	Paige	9,329	9,413	8,066	2,798	2,859	2,666	8,323	35,131	96.25	2.25%	\$735,895.06	\$193,253.45	\$193,291.98	\$173,853.17	\$175,496.47					
	Resnik	1,117	8,821	8,682	3,105	3,315	3,109	9,529	28,149	77.12	1.80%	\$589,641.91	\$23,139.04	\$181,135.51	\$187,130.32	\$198,237.04					
	Rockey	10,186	9,244	8,336	3,108	2,842	2,779	8,729	36,495	99.99	2.34%	\$764,467.00	\$211,006.50	\$189,821.63	\$179,672.70	\$183,966.17					
	Routh	6,249	6,101	5,513	1,765	1,800	1,851	5,416	23,279	63.78	1.49%	\$487,629.19	\$129,450.19	\$125,281.46	\$118,826.25	\$114,071.30					
	Scobee	9,695	9,106	5,807	0	0	154	154	24,762	67.84	1.59%	\$518,693.84	\$200,835.27	\$186,987.86	\$125,163.07	\$5,707.65					
	Scott	8,976	8,116	7,568	2,308	2,154	2,408	6,870	31,530	86.38	2.02%	\$660,464.30	\$185,940.93	\$166,658.63	\$163,119.36	\$144,745.38					
	Scudder	0	0	3,181	1,765	1,990	1,837	5,592	8,773	24.04	0.56%	\$183,769.53	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$68,562.72	\$115,206.81					
	Smith	9,689	8,734	8,514	2,799	3,002	3,087	8,888	35,825	98.15	2.29%	\$750,432.40	\$200,710.97	\$179,349.00	\$183,509.28	\$186,863.15					
Madera	Juv Corr Camp	3,468	3,240	2,987	974	1,039	801	2,814	12,509	34.27	0.80%	\$262,028.16	\$71,840.81	\$66,532.03	\$64,381.28	\$59,274.04	\$59,274.04				
Merced	Bear Creek Academy	3,325	3,936	4,576	1,659	1,588	1,385	4,632	16,469	45.12	1.05%	\$344,978.96	\$68,878.52	\$80,824.10	\$98,630.31	\$96,646.03	\$96,646.03				
Monterey	Youth Center	4,650	5,066	4,713	1,560	1,453	1,348	4,361	18,790	51.48	1.20%	\$393,597.34	\$96,326.35	\$104,028.17	\$101,583.18	\$91,659.64	\$91,659.64				
	Joplin	5,603	5,378	5,544	1,754	1,913	1,761	5,428	21,953	60.15	1.41%	\$459,853.24	\$116,068.08	\$110,434.96	\$119,494.41	\$113,855.80					
Orange	Los Pinos	12,403	12,624	12,889	4,001	4,105	3,656	11,762	49,678	136.10	3.18%	\$1,040,613.55	\$256,932.42	\$259,228.50	\$277,807.27	\$246,645.36	\$658,204.90				
	Youth Guidance Ctr	11,347	11,337	10,618	3,551	3,523	3,320	10,394	43,696	119.72	2.80%	\$915,307.58	\$235,057.01	\$232,800.50	\$228,858.53	\$218,591.53					
	Youth Leadership Academy	1,935	3,342	3,837	1,220	1,151	1,218	3,589	12,703	34.80	0.81%	\$266,091.91	\$35,651.11	\$68,626.56	\$82,702.03	\$79,112.21					
Riverside	Desert Youth Acad	3,084	2,957	2,595	862	882	792	2,536	11,172	30.61	0.72%	\$234,021.79	\$63,886.12	\$60,720.74	\$55,932.18	\$53,482.75					
	Twin Pines	3,937	4,706	4,807	1,697	1,773	1,763	5,233	18,683	51.19	1.20%	\$391,355.99	\$81,556.31	\$96,635.72	\$103,609.24	\$109,554.72	\$217,625.39				
	Van Horn	2,903	2,653	2,500	871	925	798	2,594	10,650	29.18	0.68%	\$223,087.37	\$60,136.65	\$54,478.23	\$53,884.57	\$54,587.92					
Sacramento	Boys Ranch	11,399	11,378	11,144	3,730	3,846	3,663	11,239	45,160	123.73	2.89%	\$945,974.24	\$236,134.21	\$233,642.42	\$240,195.84	\$236,001.76	\$432,499.37				
	W E Thornton	7,928	7,689	9,066	3,197	3,092	3,115	9,404	34,087	93.39	2.18%	\$714,026.21	\$164,231.25	\$157,890.36	\$195,406.99	\$196,497.61					
San Bernardino	Reg Youth Ed	4,003	3,506	2,953	1,004	1,017	1,000	3,021	13,483	36.94	0.86%	\$282,430.70	\$82,923.52	\$71,994.23	\$63,648.45	\$63,864.50					
	Heart Bar	1,415	1,397	1,103	375	160	8	543	4,458	12.21	0.29%	\$93,382.49	\$29,312.21	\$28,686.80	\$23,773.87	\$11,609.60	\$75,474.10				

# APPENDIX B

CAMP FUNDING PORTION OF													\$32,700,000.00							
FY06/07	Juvenile Probation and Camp Funding Program (CAMP)										ALLOCATION FOR FY 06/07									
County	Camp	Pop 1st Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Pop 2nd Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Pop 3rd Qtr 06/07 Ttl	Apr '07	May '07	Jun '07	Pop 4th Qtr 06/07 Ttl	FY06/07 Count Ttl	Yearly ADP	Yearly %	Yearly Allocation per Camp	Amount Paid in 1st Qtr	Amount Paid in 2nd Qtr	Amount Paid in 3rd Qtr	FY 06/07 Net Due by Camp	FY 06/07 Net Due by County			
San Diego	Barrett	10,625	11,500	12,754	4,095	4,337	4,354	12,786	47,665	130.59	3.05%	\$998,446.90	\$220,100.54	\$236,147.64	\$274,897.50	\$267,301.22	\$636,747.38			
	Campo Juv Ranch	13,874	12,791	12,457	4,530	4,508	4,368	13,406	52,528	143.91	3.36%	\$1,100,312.99	\$287,404.69	\$262,657.78	\$268,496.02	\$281,754.51				
	Girls Rehab	4,428	3,998	3,813	1,341	1,413	1,415	4,169	16,408	44.95	1.05%	\$343,701.18	\$91,727.55	\$82,097.24	\$82,184.74	\$87,691.65				
San Francisco	Log Cabin	2,127	2,339	2,727	858	1,008	956	2,822	10,015	27.44	0.64%	\$209,785.92	\$44,061.54	\$48,030.38	\$58,777.29	\$58,916.72	\$58,916.72			
San Joaquin	Prob Camp	3,715	3,618	3,527	1,182	1,283	1,076	3,541	14,401	39.45	0.92%	\$301,660.21	\$76,957.51	\$74,294.10	\$76,020.35	\$74,388.26	\$74,388.26			
San Mateo	Glenwood	4,838	4,286	3,919	1,322	1,379	1,570	4,271	17,314	47.44	1.11%	\$362,679.32	\$100,220.85	\$88,011.20	\$84,469.45	\$89,977.82	\$119,102.52			
	Margaret Kemp Girls Camp	0	1,196	1,387	445	477	485	1,407	3,990	10.93	0.26%	\$83,579.21	\$0.00	\$24,559.35	\$29,895.16	\$29,124.70				
Santa Barbara	Los Prietos Boys Camp	3,604	3,648	3,530	1,144	1,191	1,141	3,476	14,258	39.06	0.91%	\$298,664.76	\$74,658.10	\$74,910.14	\$76,085.01	\$73,011.51	\$127,429.12			
	Los Prietos Boys Academy	3,092	3,081	3,075	882	783	927	2,592	11,840	32.44	0.76%	\$248,014.50	\$64,051.85	\$63,267.03	\$66,278.02	\$54,417.61				
Santa Clara	James Ranch	5,130	5,247	5,133	1,756	1,797	1,785	5,338	20,848	57.12	1.34%	\$436,706.62	\$106,269.72	\$107,744.93	\$110,635.79	\$112,056.18	\$190,978.91			
	Muriel Wright Ctr	3,286	3,725	3,972	1,218	1,307	1,248	3,773	14,756	40.43	0.95%	\$309,096.45	\$68,070.62	\$76,491.30	\$85,611.80	\$78,922.73				
Shasta	Crystal Creek Reg Boys	3,903	4,020	3,903	1,257	1,279	1,133	3,669	15,495	42.45	0.99%	\$324,576.41	\$80,851.99	\$82,549.00	\$84,124.59	\$77,050.84	\$77,050.84			
Solano	(Colusa) Fouts Springs	5,180	4,937	5,107	1,711	1,841	1,764	5,316	20,540	56.27	1.32%	\$430,254.89	\$107,305.48	\$101,379.21	\$110,075.39	\$111,494.81	\$157,148.70			
	New Foundations	2,420	2,707	2,335	688	745	734	2,167	9,629	26.38	0.62%	\$201,700.31	\$50,131.13	\$55,587.10	\$50,328.19	\$45,653.89				
Sonoma	Probation Camp	2,036	2,133	2,057	692	703	669	2,064	8,290	22.71	0.53%	\$173,652.05	\$42,176.44	\$43,800.25	\$44,336.22	\$43,339.13	\$76,116.86			
	Youth Center	1,690	1,492	1,530	474	580	507	1,561	6,273	17.19	0.40%	\$131,401.60	\$35,008.93	\$30,637.59	\$32,977.35	\$32,777.73				
Trinity	Trinity Mountain	525	552	540	180	186	180	546	2,163	5.93	0.14%	\$45,308.73	\$10,875.56	\$11,335.09	\$11,639.07	\$11,459.02	\$11,459.02			
Tulare	Youth Facility	6,381	6,277	5,812	2,069	2,052	2,089	6,210	24,680	67.62	1.58%	\$516,976.18	\$132,184.61	\$128,895.54	\$125,270.84	\$130,625.18	\$183,315.37			
	Detention Facility Camp	2,652	2,510	2,431	900	826	781	2,507	10,100	27.67	0.65%	\$211,566.43	\$54,937.09	\$51,541.79	\$52,397.35	\$52,690.19				
Ventura	VCPAJF Commitment Services	6,497	6,567	5,182	2,120	2,249	2,034	6,403	24,649	67.53	1.58%	\$516,326.81	\$134,587.59	\$134,850.57	\$111,691.93	\$135,196.72	\$223,592.36			
	VCPAJF Secure Commitment Services	4,818	4,423	2,918	1,320	1,489	1,355	4,164	16,323	44.72	1.05%	\$341,920.67	\$99,806.53	\$90,824.44	\$62,894.07	\$88,395.64				
Yuba	Maxine Singer	1,669	1,593	1,751	667	599	577	1,843	6,856	18.78	0.44%	\$143,613.80	\$34,573.91	\$32,711.58	\$37,740.75	\$38,587.56	\$38,587.56			
Totals		394,849	398,109	379,283	128,351	132,254	128,224	388,829	1,561,070	4,276.90	100.00%	\$32,700,000.00	\$8,175,000.00	\$8,175,000.00	\$8,175,000.00	\$8,175,000.00	\$8,175,000.00			